Evolution of Leadership Communication in European Hotel Chains Since 2000

Dian Putri Natalina Tampubolon[1*]

[1] Program Study Marketing Communication Management, Faculty Magister Management
LSPR Communication and Business Institute, Indonesia.
Email: *diantampubolon51@gmail.com*

Address: Sudirman Campus: Jl.K.H.Mas Mansyur, Kav.35, Jakarta Pusat 10220
[*]Correspondence Author

Abstract. This article explores the evolution of leadership communication within hotel organizations since 2000, focusing primarily on European hotel chains, with comparative insights from other regions. The study synthesizes a wide array of international academic research on leadership, cross-cultural management, and internal communication. It also examines communication practices employed by leading hotel chains such as Accor, IHG, and Hilton. The findings reveal a notable shift in communication styles, from traditional hierarchical, one-way messaging to more participative, dialogic, and emotionally intelligent communication, which is increasingly supported by digital platforms. This shift has been driven by factors such as globalization, workforce internationalization, and the evolving expectations of different generations. The study introduces a conceptual framework that links transformational leadership, cultural intelligence, and digital enablement to enhanced employee engagement and improved service quality. In practice, the paper suggests several key strategies for hotel leaders: investing in systems that prioritize listening, empowering frontline employees to have a voice, providing leadership training in inclusive communication, and implementing mobile-first communication channels that cater to deskless workers. The article concludes that leadership communication is a strategic capability—when leaders communicate with clarity, empathy, and consistency, hotels see improved employee retention, better responsiveness, and higher guest satisfaction.

Keywords: Cross-Cultural Management; Digital Transformation; Employee Engagement; Hotel Organizations; Leadership Communication.

1. INTRODUCTION

Communication shapes how people make sense of strategy, feel included, and coordinate service delivery. In hotels complex, 24/7 operations with large deskless workforces leadership communication is especially consequential for quality and safety. Since the early 2000s, European hotel chains have diversified internationally, digitalized their back-of-house processes, and navigated crises from financial downturns to the COVID-19 pandemic. These pressures transformed communication from static, top-down memos into multi-directional, real-time conversations.

This paper traces that evolution and positions hospitality within broader leadership communication scholarship. It draws on international insights including practices from Asia-Pacific and the Americas to highlight convergences and contextual differences. We propose that effective communication in modern hotel organizations integrates three pillars are people-centered leadership grounded in emotional intelligence, culturally intelligent messaging that respects local norms while aligning to shared values, and digital enablement that widens access and accelerates feedback loops.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Leadership communication scholarship has advanced in tandem with shifts toward relational, transformational, and authentic leadership paradigms. Transformational leaders articulate compelling visions, model values, and use individualized consideration behaviors that rely on clear, consistent communication. Emotional intelligence (EI) theories emphasize self-awareness, social awareness, and empathy as determinants of communication effectiveness, particularly in service contexts where affective labor and guest interactions are central.

Cross-cultural research (e.g., Hofstede; GLOBE) shows that power distance, uncertainty avoidance, and collectivism/individualism influence how employees interpret managerial messages and whether they speak up. In high power-distance settings, employees may hesitate to challenge authority, which makes explicit invitations to voice crucial. In low-context cultures, leaders must be direct; in high-context cultures, indirect and relational cues carry more meaning. These insights are critical for multinational hotel groups with diverse workforces.

Hospitality studies link internal communication to engagement, retention, and service quality. Meta-analyses of employee engagement highlight the role of perceived organizational support, frequent feedback, and recognition. Research on digital transformation in services documents the rise of mobile apps, enterprise chat, and social intranets that bridge communication gaps for deskless employees. Recent work in organizational communication stresses dialogic approaches two-way, participatory processes that enhance psychological safety and innovation.

Finally, generational research suggests Millennials and Gen Z prefer frequent, authentic, and multimedia communication, whereas Gen X and Boomers value clarity, stability, and face-to-face dialogue. Effective leaders blend modalities to meet heterogeneous preferences.

3. METHODOLOGY

This article employs a qualitative, integrative review methodology and comparative case synthesis. We analyze secondary sources (peer-reviewed articles, books, industry reports) and leadership communication artifacts (publicly described programs, training frameworks, and internal channel strategies) from major hotel groups. A thematic coding approach was used to identify recurring practices under five categories: leadership style and EI, digitization of channels, cross-cultural adaptation, generational expectations, and employee engagement/voice.

To strengthen external validity, we situate findings within international literature from hospitality and adjacent service sectors (airlines, theme parks, and quick-service restaurants) and compare European patterns with selected examples from North America and Asia-Pacific. The goal is to develop a conceptual map and practical guidance rather than test causal relationships.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Findings: From Hierarchical to Participative, EI-Centered Leadership

Across the 2000s, many hotels moved away from command-and-control communication cultures. Contemporary leaders emphasize empathy, listening, and coaching. Routine practices now include daily stand-ups, pulse surveys, skip-level meetings, and open Q&A forums with general managers. These mechanisms convert communication from broadcasting into dialogue.

EI skills enable leaders to recognize emotional cues, frame messages with sensitivity, and sustain trust during change. For example, communicating schedule changes with empathy and options, acknowledging constraints, and inviting input increases acceptance and reduces absenteeism. Leadership pipelines in global hotel groups increasingly incorporate EI, feedback, and coaching curricula.

B. Findings: Digital Transformation of Internal Communication

Digitization democratized access to information. Where bulletin boards and cascade emails once dominated, hotels now use mobile apps, enterprise messaging, and micro-learning platforms. These tools reach housekeepers, stewards, and culinary teams who may lack corporate email. Features such as multilingual feeds, push notifications, read-receipts, and polls transform compliance messages into conversations.

Operationally, digital channels shorten response times for safety alerts, shift swaps, and guest-impact issues. Culturally, they amplify recognition and peer learning frontline staff can share photos of best practices or micro-videos on service recovery, creating a living repository of tacit knowledge.

C. Findings: Globalization and Cross-Cultural Adaptation

As European hotel groups expanded, leaders learned to hold global consistency in values while flexing local messaging. Tone, formality, and conflict norms vary across sites. Effective managers localize examples and languages, invite local employee representatives, and co-design policies with site councils. Visual job aids, iconography for safety, and bilingual micro-content help close comprehension gaps.

Well-functioning European Works Councils and local staff committees institutionalize consultation and increase transparency around reorganization, technology adoption, and scheduling practices.

D. Findings: Generational Expectations and Media Preferences

Younger cohorts expect immediacy and authenticity. Short-form video updates, live AMAs (ask-me-anything sessions), and transparent dashboards resonate strongly. At the same time, tenured employees often prefer planned briefings and written SOPs. Blended strategies brief live huddles plus a concise written recap and a visual summary reach the full spectrum.

Recognition frequency also matters: near-real-time acknowledgments in digital feeds supplement formal awards, enhancing belonging and discretionary effort.

E. Findings: Engagement, Voice, and Trust

Employee voice systems annual and quarterly surveys, anonymous channels, and ideation platforms have matured. Leading hotels publish 'you said, we did' summaries, tying actions back to feedback. Two-way transparency (e.g., sharing rationale for decisions and constraints) builds credibility even when outcomes are imperfect.

Trust is reinforced when leaders visibly close loops, share data on guest satisfaction and safety, and invite frontline experimentation in service recovery and sustainability initiatives.

F. International Comparisons Beyond Europe

North American chains often emphasize empowerment and decentralized decision rights, which require high-context communication about boundaries and brand standards. Asia-Pacific properties, operating within more hierarchical cultures in many markets, tend to formalize communication rituals (pre-shift rallies, ceremonial recognition) to balance deference with voice opportunities. Global best practices converge on three points: (1) multi-channel communication that reaches every role, (2) psychologically safe spaces for feedback, and (3) leader visibility and responsiveness during disruption.

Adjacent service sectors airlines and quick-service restaurants show similar trends: mobile staff apps, safety-first briefings with interactive checks, and data-rich dashboards for frontline teams. Hotels benefit by adopting these patterns while preserving hospitality's emphasis on care and personalization.

5. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

A. Discussion

The evidence supports a linkage between transformational leadership behaviors and dialogic communication practices in hospitality. EI acts as the micro-skillset through which transformational behaviors are perceived as authentic. Digital platforms serve as socio-technical enablers, scaling voice and recognition across dispersed teams. Cross-cultural competence acts as a moderator, shaping which messages and modalities resonate locally.

We propose a triangular model Leadership Mindset (transformational/authentic) $\times$ Cultural Intelligence $\times$ Digital Enablement predicting higher engagement and service outcomes. Managers who invest simultaneously in these three vertices report faster change adoption, fewer safety incidents, and higher guest experience metrics.

B. Conclusion

Hotel organizations increasingly compete on culture and agility. Communication is the connective tissue that aligns purpose, enables safe operations, and sustains service excellence. The evolution since 2000 shows a decisive turn toward participative, culturally intelligent, and digitally enabled leadership communication. Organizations that operationalize these principles through leader capability, inclusive processes, and mobile-first infrastructure report superior engagement and guest outcomes.

C. Practical Implications

1) **Design a channel portfolio:** combine mobile feeds, in-person huddles, and searchable knowledge bases. Calibrate by role and local norms. 2) **Institutionalize listening:** run quarterly pulses, host open forums, and publish action logs. Track close-the-loop SLAs to sustain trust. 3) **Develop EI and cultural intelligence:** include role-play, feedback labs, and language-aware writing in leadership curricula. 4) **Make recognition ambient:** automate kudos prompts linked to guest compliments and safety milestones; spotlight stories from all departments. 5) **Measure what matters:** align communication KPIs (message reach, comprehension, loop-closure rate) with HR and operations outcomes (retention, safety, RevPAR-linked service scores).

D. Future Research Directions

Comparative, multi-country studies can isolate cultural moderators of communication effectiveness. Large-scale field experiments could test which message frames and modalities shift engagement in deskless teams. As AI assistants and translation models enter internal communication, researchers should examine impacts on clarity, inclusion, and trust. Longitudinal studies across cycles (growth, crisis, recovery) would clarify how dialogic communication contributes to resilience.

REFERENCES

Accor Group. (2024). Leadership & governance: Our human resources policy. https://group.accor.com/en/group/leadership-governance

Avolio, B. J., & Gardner, W. L. (2005). Authentic leadership development: Getting to the root of positive forms of leadership. The Leadership Quarterly, 16(3), 315-338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.03.001

Baker, S., & Heisler, J. (2023). Digital employee experience in hospitality: Channel portfolios for deskless workers. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 110, 103367.

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). Transformational leadership (2nd ed.). Psychology Press. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410617095

Beekeeper. (2024). How to simplify internal communications for hotels. https://www.beekeeper.io/

Browning, T., & Lim, J. (2021). Frontline empowerment and service recovery: Evidence from hotels. Cornell Hospitality Quarterly, 62(4), 389-404.

Bryant, E. (2022). The role of emotional intelligence in leadership: Building a stronger hospitality team. Hospitality Net.

Deloitte. (2023). Global hospitality outlook 2023. Deloitte Insights.

Denning, S. (2011). The leader's guide to storytelling. Jossey-Bass.

EHL Insights. (2023). Employee engagement: The method behind hospitality success. EHL Hospitality Business School.

Ehlers, L., & Kim, S. (2022). Dialogic communication and employee engagement in services. Journal of Service Management, 33(7), 1045-1064.

Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional intelligence. Bantam Books.

Great Place to Work. (2017). World's best workplaces: Hilton profile. https://www.greatplacetowork.com/

Hassan, M., & Hatmaker, D. (2015). Leadership communication: Antecedents and outcomes. Public Personnel Management, 44(1), 67-89.

Henderson, J. C. (2017). Corporate social responsibility and employee engagement in hospitality. Tourism and Hospitality Research, 17(3), 268-274.

Hofstede, G. (2001). Culture's consequences (2nd ed.). Sage.

House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study. Sage.

IHG. (2021). Journey to tomorrow: Responsible business commitments. InterContinental Hotels Group.

IHG. (2022). Employee listening is key to inclusion. UNLEASH.

Kandampully, J., Zhang, T., & Jaakkola, E. (2018). Customer experience management in hospitality: A review. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 30(1), 21-56. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-10-2015-0549

Kotter, J. P. (1996). Leading change. Harvard Business Review Press.

Lamo, E. (2019). The center of success: A case study on Hilton's company culture. California Polytechnic State University.

Lee, J., & Ok, C. (2016). Hotel employees' perceptions of leader-member exchange and engagement. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 52, 62-72.

Li, N., & Leung, A. (2020). Cross cultural communication in multinational service firms. Journal of International Management, 26(3), 100758.

Liu, S., & Wang, Y. (2021). Digital transformation and internal communication effectiveness. Management Communication Quarterly, 35(4), 589-617.

Longdom. (2024). European works councils and international hotels. Journal of Hotel and Business Management.

Marques, J. (2015). The changed leadership landscape. Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-02-2015-0010

Northouse, P. G. (2021). Leadership: Theory and practice (9th ed.). Sage.

Oneteam. (2022). Five internal communication strategies for hotels. https://www.oneteam.io/

Park, S., & Pierce, B. (2022). Psychological safety and voice in hospitality teams. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 102, 103171. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2022.103171

PwC. (2022). The future of hospitality: Workforce and technology. PwC Research.

Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 21(7), 600-619. https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940610690169

Schein, E. H. (2010). Organizational culture and leadership (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Scott, C. R., & Lewis, L. (2017). Organizational communication and change. In C. R. Scott & L. K. Lewis (Eds.), The international encyclopedia of organizational communication (pp. 1-12). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118955567.wbieoc020

Seijts, G., & Gandz, J. (2018). Transformational leadership communication during crisis. Organizational Dynamics, 47(4), 255-262.

Taneja, S., & Toombs, L. (2014). Putting a face on small business: Visibility, transparency, and reputation. Business Horizons, 57(4), 419-429.

UNLEASH. (2021). Conscious inclusion training at IHG. UNLEASH.

Wirtz, J., Zeithaml, V., & Gremler, D. (2022). Services marketing (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Yukl, G. (2013). Leadership in organizations (8th ed.). Pearson.

Zhang, X., & Akhtar, S. (2013). A multilevel perspective of transformational leadership and communication. The Leadership Quarterly, 24(4), 771-787.

Zhao, X., & Mattila, A. S. (2013). The impact of frontline empowerment on service recovery. Journal of Service Research, 16(3), 285-299.

Zohar, D. (2010). Thirty years of safety climate research: Reflections and future research. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 42(5), 1517-1522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2009.12.019